

"Victoria Rutson" <vicki.rutson@stb.dot.gov>, "Evelyn Kitay"
<evelyn.kitay@stb.dot.gov>, "Christa Dean"
<deanc@stb.dot.gov>
cc

bcc

Subject Email from ACHP - Enola Branch MOA

```
> Troy,
  > Thank you for your email. Please allow me to clarify a few issues.
  > 1. Consulting parties have expressed concern to us that the proposed
  > mitigation (documentation) will not be completed in a timely manner, and
  > that the property will be transferred to the municipalities without any
  > provisions that the documentation will be completed prior to any adverse
  > effects to the property. Specifically, FAST is concerned that the
  > communities will demolish properties before they have been documented. I
  > have explained to consulting parties that Section 106 is complete when the
  > agreement is executed and implemented as per 800.6(c). In the MOA, STB
  > commits to seeing that the agreed upon mitigation stipulations are carried
  > out. If the documentation is not complete prior to the transfer, then the
  > completion of the documentation must be a condition of the transfer.
 > 2. I have also explained to FAST that the execution of the MOA does not
 > proclude any future arrangements that can be made with the three
 > municipalities who are deliberating the transfer of land to the County.
 > being said, if the documentation issue is the only outstanding issue, we
 are
 > prepared to execute the MOA.
 > 3. You mentioned in an earlier email that typically STB asks parties to
 > the MOA concurrently. However, it is standard practice that the ACHP is
 the
 > final signatory. I advise you that once you have received SHPO and NS
 > concurrence, you begin to circulate the MOA. With STB, NS, and SHPO
 > signatures, please forward the agreement to us and I will see that it is
 > signed as quickly as possible. It may be appropriate to ask concurring
 > parties to sign the MOA concurrently.
> I am available today to discuss this further if you should request. My
> number is 202.606.8534. Thank you and thank you for sharing with us the
> of your farm, sounds like fun!
> Karen Theimer Brown
> ---- Original Message ----
> To: "Zacher, Susan" <szacher@state.pa.us>; "Karen Theimer Brown"
 <ktheimer@achp.gov>; "Don Klima" <dklima@achp.gov>
> Sent: Wednesday, May 05, 2004 9:32 AM
> Subject: Enola Branch MOA
> > Good Morning,
```

```
> > I hope this finds y'all doing well.
 > >
> > I just wanted to follow-up on my email of Monday this week. I
> > that you, like the STB, are receiving many calls. However, we believe
> > this Final MOA has only been strengthened beyond the Draft MOA that we
> > all agreed upon in September 2003. Therefore, in an effort to move this
> > process forward, can you please indicate where you are with regard to
> > signing the MOA and whether there is anything the STB can do to assist
you
> > in that endeavor.
> > If you have any questions, feel free to contact me at home at
> > or via email at
                               (I'm currently having problems
> > accessing my STB email).
> > Troy Brady
> >
>
```

. . . .